have been observed by trained faculty evaluators may

opt to not attach the Observation of Faculty form.



PART-TIME FACULTY EVALUATION **COVER SHEET**

SEC	CTION 1 PART-TIME FA	CULTY (EVALUATEE)/EVALUATOR INFO						
1	VALUATEE VAME:	Alex Stoykov			Spring 2013			
1	COURSE OBSERVED:	<u>CSIS 24</u>		CLASS LOCATION:	BO 118			
	EVALUATOR NAME:	Ellen Venable		DATE OBSERVED:	4/2/13			
DAIDR		I RECOMMEND THE SUPERVISING ADMINISTRATOR FOLLOW UP WITH ADDITIONAL OBSERVATION YES NO						
EVAL	ATTACHED FORMS IN SUPPORT OF EVALUATION: ADMINISTRATIVE EVALUATION OF FACULTY SELF EVALUATION STUDENT EVALUATION REPORT			PROCESS OBSERVATION OCCURRED: (WITH TRAINED EVALUATOR) POST OBSERVATION MEETING OCCURRED: (WITH TRAINED EVALUATOR)	4/2/13 DATE 4/18/13 DATE			
	OBSERVA	ATION OF FACULTY* ty contract section 19.7, evaluatees w	vho					
	As per facult	y contract section 15.7, evaluatees w						



OBSERVATION FORM FULL-TIME AND PART-TIME FACULTY

-			14		
2	2	CU	ш	V	
	CA	~~	411.5	м	
				-	

Member: AlexStoykov

4/2/13 Date of Observation:

Course:

Activity Observed: (lecture, lab, etc.)

lecture

Observer. Ellen Venable

Class Location

or URL:

BU118

Overall description of face to face or online class or work environment being visited: i.e., course objectives, student composition, modules visited (for online courses) and other pertinent information:

Directions: All statements and questions should be rated. Outstanding and unusually effective instruction should receive the highest rating.

Complete the appropriate section(s) as applicable.

= 5 Key: Highest Lowest = 1 = NA Not Applicable

SECTION 1: ALL COURSES

1.	Were	the	objectives	of	the	class	made	clear?

2. Were important concepts and ideas presented clearly?

3. Did the class activities demonstrate preparation and organization?

4. Was the class time used effectively?

5. Were students encouraged to use critical thinking and analysis?

6. Were clear and consistent methods and procedures evident?

Did the instructor involve students when relevant?

Highest

Lowest

5 4 3 2 1 NA

Did the instructor recognize the need to communicate with students of varying abilities?	Highest 5 4 3 2 1 NA
Did the instructor relate to the students as individuals?	5 4 3 2 1 NA
Were the students responsive and engaged?	5 4 3 2 1 NA
11. Did the instructor anticipate difficulties and prepare students beforehand?	5 4 3 2 1 NA
12. Does the instructor emphasize ways of solving problems rather than offering solutions?	5 4 3 2 1 NA
13. Did the instructor use multimedia?	5 4 3 2 1 NA
14. Is the syllabus up to date and does it guide instruction?	5 4 3 2 1 NA NA
comments: very well prepared Students attentive and	and very details
SECTION 2: ONLINE COURSES	
Are content pages easy to read and do they effectively direct the student throughout the course?	5 4 3 2 1 NA
 Does the instructor send regular communication to students? (e.g. e-mails or announcements at least weekly, feedback to students regarding student questions, grading, etc) 	5 4 3 2 1 NA
SECTION 3: PROFESSIONAL WORK ENVIRONMENT 1. Were the objectives of the work made clear?	5 4 3 2 1 NA
2. Were important concepts and ideas presented clearly?	5 4 3 2 1 NA

Lowest

Highest